Digitally Reinforced Polarization of Hematoxylin-Eosin in the Diagnosis of Renal Amyloidosis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Systemic amyloidosis is a rare disorder, characterized by extracellular accumulation of Congo red positive fibrillar amyloid protein deposits that have an amorphous, eosinophilic appearance on hematoxylin-eosin stained preparations. The kidney is the most commonly affected organ by systemic amyloidosis. Congo red staining increases the positive birefringence of the weakly birefringent unstained amyloid. In this study, we investigated the potential diagnostic power of digitally reinforced birefringence of routine hematoxylin-eosin stained slides from renal biopsies.

Material and Method: We reviewed 130 hematoxylin-eosin stained slides for polarization. Sixty-five new amyloidosis cases were diagnosed by renal biopsy. All renal biopsies were evaluated by light microscopy and immunofluorescence. Slides were reevaluated blindly using a microscope (Olympus BX51) that was attached polarization filters and connected to a digital camera (Olympus DP21, SAL). Deposits that showed green birefringence on hematoxylin-eosin preparations with digitalized microscopy were considered positive and the results were confirmed using Congo red.

Results: Of the 65 Congo red confirmed amyloid positive biopsies, 61 showed green birefringence with hematoxylin-eosin. Of the 65 Congo-red confirmed amyloid negative biopsies, two were considered to be false positive. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were estimated as 94%, 97%, 97% and 94% respectively.

Conclusion: We concluded that polarized hematoxylin-eosin sections can be used digitally as a fast and first step diagnostic method for renal amyloidosis.

Key Words: Amyloid, Amyloidosis, Congo Red, Hematoxylin

ÖZ


Bulgular: Kongo kırmızısı ile doğrulanmış 65 amiloid pozitif biyopsisin 61’i hematoksilen-eozin ile yeşil birefrenjans gösterdi. Kongo kırmızısı ile doğrulanmış, 65 amiloid birikimi olmayan oğlunun 2’si yalancı pozitif olarak değerlendirildi. Duyarlılık, özgüllük, pozitif ve negatif prediktif değerler sırasıyla %94, %97, %97 ve %94 olarak hesaplandı.

Sonuç: Renal amiloidoz için polarize edilmiş hematoksilen eozin kesitler dijital olarak hızlı ve ilk basamak tanı metodu olarak kullanılabilir.
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INTRODUCTION

Amyloidosis is caused by the systemic or localized accumulation of at least 27 different proteins (1). Systemic forms involve the internal organs at different rates, and the kidneys are important within these affected organs in terms of morbidity and mortality. Incidence in kidney biopsies in the adult population is under 2% in American and European publications, but reaches 10% in our country (2-7).

Amyloid deposits appear pink under light microscopic investigation of routine hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) sections in kidney biopsies and can be confused with findings such as hyalnosis and sclerosis that can cause a similar appearance (8). Staining of the eosinophilic deposits with Congo red (CR) and making the diagnosis with apple green appearance (8). Staining of the eosinophilic deposits with weak birefringence and the CR staining increases this birefringence (9). Histochemical staining methods such as Schiff’s periodic acid (PAS), Masson’s trichrome (MT) and Jones’s methenamine silver (JMS) used during routine evaluation of kidney biopsies are helpful in the differential diagnosis (8). However, amorphous eosinophilic deposits in biopsies of cases where CR is not used routinely and do not have a clinical suspicion of amyloidosis may not receive an amyloidosis diagnosis.

Kidney needle biopsies and needle or endoscopic biopsies of other organs are small in size, and the optimal evaluation and preservation of tissue are therefore important. Preparing new sections for methods requiring a thick section such as CR staining can also deplete the tissue in paraffin blocks in small biopsies and there may not be enough tissue for further investigations. Therefore, the optimum evaluation of small biopsies that create differential diagnosis difficulties and require advanced investigation is extremely important. During the evaluation of cases with amyloidosis, we observed that the amyloid deposits show very weak polarization under strong light in routine H&E stained preparations. We therefore planned this study to determine whether H&E polarization can be used as an additional method in kidney needle biopsies for amyloidosis diagnosis and whether its enhancement by digitalization will contribute to the diagnosis of amyloidosis.

MATERIAL and METHODS

We included 65 cases diagnosed with amyloidosis at Ege University Medical Faculty Pathology Department between 2008 and 2012 and a total of 65 cases with amorphous eosinophilic deposits suspicious in terms of amyloid in the differential diagnosis following renal needle biopsy, including diabetic glomerulosclerosis (25 cases), segmental sclerosis and/or glomerulonephritis associated with fibrinoid necrosis and ANCA (20 cases), and myeloma cast nephropathy (15 cases) in the study. In addition, 15 cases, including previously published ones, diagnosed with amyloidosis localized to the seminal vesicle determined in cases evaluated for prostate adenocarcinoma were included as non-renal positive control cases (12).

The clinical and laboratory data of the cases with a diagnosis of amyloidosis that were included in the study were investigated from the hospital archive records.

Light microscopic examination: Renal needle biopsies were evaluated with three 3-4 micron thick H&E stained slides of different levels as well as JMS, MT, PAS, and Putchtlter’s modified CR method during the histochemical examination (11). Antibodies marked with fluorescent isothiocyanate were used for IgG, IgM, IgA, kappa, lambda, C1q, C3c, and fibrinogen for the immunofluorescence microscopic investigation. Amyloidosis was diagnosed by the deposits staining CR positive with the Putchler staining method showing apple-green polarization on the polarizing microscope and these cases were evaluated as systemic amyloidosis. In cases with amyloidosis, scoring and staging were performed according to the renal amyloidosis histological classification (6).

Typing of amyloid deposits: Immunohistochemical (IHC) investigation with antibodies against kappa, lambda, pre-albumin (TTR), fibrinogen, lysozyme and AA was used to type the amyloid preliminary proteins of the cases with amyloidosis. IHC staining was performed with a fully automated device (Benchmark XT, Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, USA) (Table I). IHC staining in amyloid deposit areas was scored as negative (0) or positive (in 4 values as +, ++, ++++, +++++ according to the severity of staining). In non-AA amyloidosis cases, concurrent minimal positivity with anti-AA antibody was not taken into account for typing. Typing was performed together with clinical and laboratory findings in cases where we were not able to perform reliable typing with multiple positive IHC results.

Digital imaging method: The main feature of this study is digital imaging and photography of H&E polarization. The light intensity of the microscope and the setting of the camera were optimized as they are important for the imaging method. The polarization of the amyloid deposits is difficult to recognize under microscope ocular in H&E stained sections during normal light microscopic examination. The amyloid deposit polarization is best
recognized and photographed on the screen on a dark background, with 2 seconds of exposure time during polarization and the automatic exposure setting of the DP21 camera system attached to the microscope. CR also shows the best birefringence under these settings.

H&E and CR stained sections of all biopsies were investigated in 10 times enlarged (Plan apochromat) format, with the light source completely open, and the polarization images of amorphous eosinophilic deposits were digitally transferred to the monitor (AOC, TFT19W80PSA+, China) to be simultaneously evaluated and photographed. A microscope (Olympus BX51TF ve U-LH100) with a DP21 Olympus camera (DP21 SAL, U-T v0.5XC-3), polarization (U-POT, U-ANT) and a multi-observer attachment (U-MDOB3) was used for the digital imaging process.

The images of amyloid and suspicious deposits regarding the differential diagnosis were evaluated and photographed on the DP21 monitor using the automatic exposure setting, normal light and polarization. Before starting the study, 100, 200, and 400 ASA settings were compared to the automatic and manual exposure of the camera for optimization (Figure 1A-D). After the optimization and standardization of the photography, all images were investigated on the monitor and were simultaneously photographed using 1600x1200 pixel and 100 ASA values. During polarization, we made sure the camera exposure duration was 2 sec. (the highest level) and the background was at its darkest.

During the study, it was possible to evaluate some cases as suspicious or negative as amyloid deposits were few in number and it was not possible to fully evaluate an apple-green appearance on the monitor. In such cases, a second evaluation was performed using photographs taken during the assessment. The digital photos were re-evaluated on a laptop (MacBook Pro, 13 inch, 2.3 GHz, Core i5 processor) using Picasa 3 (Picasa 3.9.7.585, Google Inc.) photography software. In this evaluation, we focused on the areas we wanted to analyze in detail with different digital magnifications and investigated the polarization color.

The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive and negative predictive values of H&E polarization were statistically calculated.

**RESULTS**

A total of 65 cases were diagnosed with amyloidosis during the study period. The clinical and histopathological typing features of the cases are presented in Table II.

Amyloid deposits showed typical apple-green birefringence under polarized light using digital imaging methods after both H&E and CR staining in all cases with prostate carcinoma and seminal vesicle amyloidosis that were used as a positive control for non-renal amyloid deposits (Figure 2A-C).

H&E polarization could not be seen in only 4 of 65 patients diagnosed with amyloidosis and these were evaluated as false negative. In two of these cases the deposits were minimal and reflected early period deposits. The remaining two had deposits that were prominent in glomerular capillaries with obliteration, reflecting the later period.

H&E polarization images were lighter than CR polarization in cases with renal amyloidosis (Figure 3A-D). We were not able to evaluate the relationship between the deposited amyloid protein type and H&E polarization negativity as the cases without H&E polarization were few and the amyloid subtypes deposited in these cases were heterogeneous (Table II).

Of the 65 cases used as negative control that had glomerular and tubular pathologies that could be confused with amyloidosis, deposits in the perivascular interstitial area were evaluated as positive although they were not typical only in two cases (Figure 4A-H). The images of these positive cases that were evaluated as false positive do not give rise to any suspicion on H&E investigation in terms of amyloidosis and are not typical for amyloid deposits but show yellow-green polarization.

### Table I: Antibodies and dilutions used for the immunohistochemical typing of amyloid proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Clonality</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA Amyloid clone mc1</td>
<td>monomeric</td>
<td>monoclonal</td>
<td>M0759 Dako</td>
<td>1/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lambda light chain</td>
<td>HP6054</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>Neomarker</td>
<td>1/400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa light chain</td>
<td>Kap03</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>Neomarker</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>A0080 Dako</td>
<td>1/5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>A0099 Dako</td>
<td>1/400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prealbumin (TTR)</td>
<td>transthyretin</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>A0002 Dako</td>
<td>1/1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Hematoxylin-eosin section polarization appearance on 100, 200, 300 and 400 ASA in the DP-21 SAL system with automatic settings: (A) 100, (B) 200, and (D) 400 ASA appearance (x100) with hematoxylin-eosin, normal light and polarization.

Table II: Typing characteristics of the cases with renal amyloidosis in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case n (%)</th>
<th>False negative n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA amyloidosis</td>
<td>40 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-AA amyloidosis</td>
<td>12 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untyped amyloidoses</td>
<td>13 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(61 native and, 4 transplant kidneys. All false negatives were in native kidneys).

Table III: The distribution of true and false positivity and negativity in 130 cases in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>True (Correct diagnosis)</th>
<th>False (Wrong diagnosis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive (cases with amyloidosis diagnosis)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (cases without amyloid deposits)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Four of the 130 cases in total in the renal series in this study were evaluated as negative and two as false positive (Table III). The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive and negative predictive values of H&E polarization of amyloid accumulations being displayed with digital imaging were statistically calculated as 94%, 97%, 95%, 97% and 94% respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Amorphous eosinophilic deposits can be suspicious in terms of amyloidosis during the evaluation of H&E stained sections of biopsies. Areas such as sclerosis and hyalinization that have a similar morphological appearance create difficulties in the differential diagnosis. The polarization of foreign substances in the tissue and the collagen fibers of the mature bone tissues can be observed. During the evaluation of H&E stained sections with routine light microscopy, amyloid fibrils not stained with any staining method are also reported to show birefringence (9). However, our observations in our few cases do not validate this. There is no information on the routine use of H&E polarization in detecting amyloid deposits. Typical apple-green polarization of amyloid deposits in the H&E stained sections were shown with the help of digital imaging and its validation with CR was performed in this study for the first time. This study demonstrates digitally reinforced H&E polarization being used together with CR as an ancillary method and as a first step in determining amyloid deposits in renal biopsies.

Amyloid deposits are diagnosed following the deposit of at least 27 different preliminary proteins in the tissue with a typical Beta layer sequence and demonstration of typical apple green birefringence with polarization on the light microscope when stained with CR (1). CR staining and polarization are currently the golden standard for a definitive diagnosis. However, some difficulties of the CR staining method such as requiring a thick section reaching 12 micron thickness and optimization of the staining method are known (1, 10, 11). Thioflavin S and T, the metachromatic stains of toluidine, methyl or crystal violet as well as CR fluorescence are ancillary methods in amyloidosis diagnosis (10,11,13-15). Amyloid stains are generally used in renal biopsies in our country while they are only used if there is a clinical and pathological suspicion of amyloid deposits in other organ biopsies. This study indicates that amyloid deposits can be diagnosed with H&E staining method without the need for an additional polarization, with a high degree of specificity and sensitivity, using archive sections during routine histological evaluation and photography. This may reduce the need for CR application or may make it
Polarization of Hematoxylin-Eosin

Part of H&E seems to be responsible for the birefringence. No apple green color change was observed in a small number of sections stained with hematoxylin but polarization was observed in sections stained with eosin only. Amyloid deposits in different areas of the kidney were seen in green in renal biopsies with H&E polarization while fibrosis and connective tissue areas can give yellow polarization. These two latter cases were therefore evaluated as false positive. When we reevaluated these cases, it was revealed that this image was incorrectly interpreted and would not be confused with amyloid deposits in H&E evaluation. We believe that this method could be interpreted incorrectly in possible to ask for early staining for immunohistochemical typing of amyloid proteins without waiting for CR result.

There is controversy regarding the apple green color of the amyloid deposits in polarization with CR. Besides the various shades of green and blue with polarization, all deposits not simultaneously showing polarization are defined as anomalous color change and this can be seen in pictures in the publications. Howie et al. recently emphasized this (16-18). Our findings indicate that all deposits do not simultaneously show polarization on H&E polarization where the polarization is in typical apple green color and is less than the polarization with CR. The eosin part of H&E seems to be responsible for the birefringence. No apple green color change was observed in a small number of sections stained with hematoxylin but polarization was observed in sections stained with eosin only.

Amyloid deposits in different areas of the kidney were seen in green in renal biopsies with H&E polarization while fibrosis and connective tissue areas can give yellow polarization. These two latter cases were therefore evaluated as false positive. When we reevaluated these cases, it was revealed that this image was incorrectly interpreted and would not be confused with amyloid deposits in H&E evaluation. We believe that this method could be interpreted incorrectly in
the evaluation of non-renal biopsies and especially in tissues such as skin and bone marrow that contain connective tissue or fibrosis areas and one should therefore be very careful and verify the results with new studies. Although it is not likely to be confused with amyloidosis, we used myeloma cast nephropathies as a negative control group due to the etiological similarity and light-chain amyloidosis (AL) relationship. H&E polarization was not seen in these cases.

H&E polarization was barely noticed with an evaluation through the routine ocular but displaying the image through digital imaging and photography methods that we routinely use in our department and Picasa photography software easily available free of charge made the diagnosis of amyloidosis easy. We observed that the settings we defined for the Olympus DP 21 SAL camera system in this study were useful. It would be best to try digitally enhanced H&E polarization with different camera models and software from other manufacturers as well and to define the suitable settings according to each system followed by verification of the findings with CR. This can enable optimization for the diagnosis of amyloidosis of the digital cameras and software used in pathology practice. The routine use of digital H&E polarization in the diagnosis and evaluation of amyloidosis with or without CR can then begin. Amyloid-specific software that will be written for this purpose can enable robotic scanning and recognition of amyloid deposits and optimize the diagnosis of amyloidosis. A similar change is currently observed with the use of new stains and fluorescent microscopy in the diagnosis of amyloid (19-21).

In cases where H&E polarization was not detected, we thought that it could be associated with subtypes of amyloid proteins. However, we were unable to query the relationship between amyloid types and false negativity as our rate was

---

**Figure 4:** The comparison of polarization with the Congo red and (A, B, E, F) and hematoxylin-eosin (C, D, G, H) staining methods in cases with false negativity and positivity: In the negative case, it is seen that there is no double diffraction with (A) Congo red, (B) Congo red polarization, (C) Hematoxylin-eosin, (D) polarization with Hematoxylin-eosin. In the case with false positivity, (E) Congo red, (F) Congo red polarization is negative, (G) Hematoxylin-eosin, (H) polarization with Hematoxylin-eosin is present; Hematoxylin-eosin polarization is present in perivascular areas and in areas where deposits are not suspected (x100).
so low. Protein analysis with mass spectrophotometry and genetic studies are currently in the forefront due to the problems in typing of amyloid proteins with IHC (22-25). We defined the problems with IHC in another study where most of the cases of this study are also included (25). We avoided typing in cases with other amyloid protein staining close to AA staining and/or without clear clinical details. We therefore have a high rate of cases without typing. Our previous studies report AA amyloidosis rates reaching 90% based solely on anti-AA IHC findings (6). However, we believe that false typing may have been done in non-AA amyloid cases in the past when only anti-AA IHC was used, when we take the case with myeloma and anti-AA IHC positivity into account (24,25). We therefore avoided IHC typing in cases with suspicious IHC staining and clinical features in this study. The use of conjugated polyelectrolytes, a new staining method used on the fluorescent microscope, for the characterization of amyloid deposits and the possibility of employing amyloid-specific stains have been reported but there were problems regarding the sensitivity of the method (19-21).

In conclusion, digitally enhanced H&E polarization is a new method of amyloid evaluation. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values close to the rates we determined in the kidney were found in upper gastrointestinal system amyloidosis cases (26). Digitally enhanced polarization was also investigated with toluidine blue used routinely for helicobacter pylori in the same series. High sensitivity and specificity values together with amyloid deposit polarization and anomalous color changes were also shown for toluidine blue (27). Digitally enhanced polarization is likely to be used with other staining methods in the diagnosis of amyloid. The use of this method with other staining methods for routine use is important for the preservation of the tissues.

When amyloidosis is suspected, practical, helpful and easy methods such as H&E polarization reduce the workload of the laboratory and the cost of the diagnosis. We have started using digital analysis of H&E polarization before CR staining for differential diagnosis of amyloidosis in suspicious cases after renal needle biopsy. We believe it is important and necessary to conduct new studies with larger case series and by employing the various digital camera models and software used for non-renal tissues and pathology to put them into routine practice.
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