Intussusception of the Appendix Induced by Sessile Serrated Adenoma: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT
Intussusception of the appendix vermiformis is a rare condition. It occurs mainly in infants and children. Here, we report an intussusception case that occurred in a 65-year-old male presenting with repeated periumbilical pain, nausea, vomiting and febrile sensation. The appendix was seen to be intussuscepted at laparoscopy. The invaginated segment was reducted and simple appendicectomy was carried out. Histopathologic examination revealed a sessile serrated adenoma at the wall of the appendix, suggesting it as the cause of the intussusception.
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INTRODUCTION
Intussusception primarily occurs in children, with only about 5% of cases occurring in adults (1). Intussusception of the appendix vermiformis in adults is a rare condition caused by anatomical and pathological factors such as tumors and is rarely diagnosed before surgery. Although most appendiceal tumors are benign, tubular adenoma is an unusual lesion (2). Here, we report a case with appendiceal intussusception induced by sessile serrated adenoma (SSA) and discuss the clinical features, classification, preoperative diagnosis and therapy of this condition together with a review of the literature.

CASE REPORT
A 65-year-old male was admitted with repeated periumbilical pain, nausea, vomiting and febrile sensation. His initial vital signs were blood pressure 102/68 mmHg, pulse 102/min, respiration 18/min, and temperature 37.5 °C. Abdominal examination revealed a right lower quadrant tenderness with voluntary guarding and mild rebound tenderness. Pelvic examination and urinalysis were normal with no evidence of haematuria or other findings (such as infection). The WBC was 15.3x10³/μL with 78% neutrophils and the C-reactive protein level was 87.2 mg/dl. This presentation indicated acute appendicitis. Plain films of the abdomen disclosed multiple intestinal air-fluid levels (Figure 1). After appropriate fluid replacement, the patient underwent emergency surgery. The appendix was seen to be intussuscepted at surgery. The invaginated segment was reducted and simple appendicectomy, rather than a right hemicolectomy was carried out in the absence of any other findings. Histopathologic examination revealed an SSA at the wall of the appendix (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION
Intussusception was described firstly by Barbette of Amsterdam in 1674 and further presented in a detailed report in 1789 by John Hunter as “introssusception”. Intussusception represents a rare form of bowel obstruction in the adult (1). Several pathological conditions have been reported as the leading point in intussusception, and these include polyps, hamartomas, lipomas, leiomyomas, neurofibromas, adenomas, inflammatory polyps, tuberculosis, Meckel diverticulum, adhesions, and heterotopic pancreas in children (1). Adult intussusception represents 5% of all cases of intussusception and accounts
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Intussusception of the appendix vermiformis is an uncommon and an incidence rate of 0.01% has been reported in the literature (4, 5). Most of the cases in the literature are infants and children (6). Our case was an adult male patient.

Some anatomical factors such as a fetal-type cecum with a funnel-shaped, mobile appendix may also cause intussusception of the appendix vermiformis (7, 8). The clinical presentation in adult intussusception is often chronic, and most patients present with nonspecific symptoms that are suggestive of intestinal obstruction. Abdominal pain is the most common symptom followed by vomiting and nausea (3, 9, 10). Our case was admitted as acute appendicitis and intussusception of the appendix was recognized during surgery.

Several imaging techniques such as plain abdominal X-rays, contrast studies, barium enema examination, colonoscopy, USG, and in recent years CT and MRI may help to precisely identify the causative lesion preoperatively (11). Barium enema examination and colonoscopy are contraindicated if there is the possibility of bowel perforation (11).

There are a few cases appendiceal intussusception in the literature. In one of them, the intussusception was caused by appendiceal malignant polyp in a patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (12) and other cases were caused by endometriosis (4) and appendicitis (13). Our case is the first appendiceal intussusception induced by SSA.

SSA is a recently described entity. It is more commonly located in the right side of the colon and also can occur in the appendix (14-16). The incidence of this lesion in the appendix is unknown (17). SSA cases closely resemble hyperplastic polyps morphologically but exhibit subtle distinguishing architectural and cytologic features, such as dilatation and serration of the basis of crypt, irregular branching and asymmetric crypt (17). SSA can mimic a hyperplastic polyp (HP) in the appendix but differs from HP by the lack of dysplastic changes in the crypt epithelium (17). Bellizzi et al. demonstrated that SSA of the appendix was morphologically and immunophenotypically analogous to those seen in the colorectum (18). However, they exhibited different rates of BRAF mutation and the lack of demonstrable resultant microsatellite instability (19).

In conclusion, intussusception of the vermiform appendix is rare. Clinical signs, symptoms and radiological findings vary among patients. Treatment of appendiceal intussusception is mainly surgical. SSA is one of the probable diagnoses in adults that should be considered in obstructive lesions of the appendix causing intussusception.
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